



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,164	05/03/2001	Peter R. Rhode	46146-C (71758)	1034

21874 7590 04/03/2003
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP
P.O. BOX 9169
BOSTON, MA 02209

EXAMINER

DECLOUX, AMY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1644

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003

91

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Applicant No.	Applicant(s)
	09/848,164	RHODE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amy M. DeCloux	1644

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 53-55,57-63,66-69 and 71-76.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 29-31, 35-37, 43-48, 52, 56, 64-65, 70 and 77-87.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. Other: _____

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendment to claim 76 to depend on claim 74 would raise new issues because a multivalent MHC complex comprising two or more linked MHC molecules wherein the MHC molecule is modified to carry a detectable tag, has not been specifically previously searched. The proposed amendment to claim 75 to depend on claim 74 raises new issues because a multivalent MHC complex comprising two or more linked MHC molecules wherein the MHC molecules are linked to immunoglobulin domains has not been specifically searched previously.

The 112 first paragraph rejection is maintained because the Applicant has not pointed out support for the claimed product containing both limitations of lacking a functional domain and of one or both chains being truncated with respect to the full length chain. Applicant maintains the traversal of the rejection and notes that truncated MHC molecules are described on pages 23-24 and page 7 of the specification and that the limitation of truncated molecules was clearly intended to cover any and all of the MHC molecules disclosed in the specification. However, the examiner notes that said pages do not disclose a molecule comprising both said recited limitations.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The proposed amendments would, if entered, overcome the 112 second paragraph rejections and the outstanding objections.



PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

